

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

_aw.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,754		08/28/2001	Christopher D. Paulson	01-3491496.00141	7667
24319	7590	05/06/2005		EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC	CORPO	RATION		DO, CI	IAT C
1621 BARB	ER LANE				
MS: D-106				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS, CA 95035				2193	
				DATE MAIL ED. 05/04/2004	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/940,754	PAULSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chat C. Do	2193		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO person	nnel):
(1) <u>Chat C. Do</u> . (3))
(2) <u>John J. Ignatowski</u> . (4))
Date of Interview: <u>04 May 2005</u> .	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ a	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ If Yes, brief description:	No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: Zhou (U.S. 6,389,438).	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ w	ras not reached. h) □ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant's representative Office action with questions cited in the applicant initiated interview parties.</u>	initiated a call to discuss the art rejection in last
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last C GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or	Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY HE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135, (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

LAW OFFICES

CHRISTOPHER P. MAIORANA, P.C.

24840 HARPER AVENUE, SUITE 100 St. Clair Shores, Michigan 48080

CHRISTOPHER P. MAIORANA ROBERT M. MILLER JOHN J. IGNATOWSKI (586) 498-0670 Fax (586) 498-0673 maioranapc.com

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS & COPYRIGHTS

FACSIMILE MESSAGE

10:	Examiner (Jo
COMPANY:	USPTO
RE:	Serial No. 09/940,754 - Filed: August 28, 2001
FILE NO.:	1496.00141
FAX NO.:	571-273-3721
FROM:	John J. Ignatowski
DATE:	May 3, 2005 TIME:
	TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES3 (including cover sheet)
If you do not rec	exive any of these pages, please telephone us at (586) 498-0670 or telefax us at (586) 498-0673.
COMMENTS:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential information intended only for the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original communication to us at the above address via the U.S. Mail. Thank you.

PTOL-413A (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Putent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application No.: Of Examiner:Cha	7/940,754 First N 7 C. Q.	lamed Applicant: Art Unit: スカ	Christopher L 3 Status of Ap	D. Paulscr plication: Fi	mal Rejector		
Tentative Particip	ants:	(2) John	Tig na rows	k/			
			ed Time: <u>9 </u>				
Type of Interview	•						
Exhibit To Be Show	wn or Demonstrate	ed:] YES	⋈NO				
II yes, provide brie	ef description:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Issues To Be	Discussed				
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed		
(1) Rej		Zhou	_ []	[]	[]		
(2)			_ []	[]	[]		
(3)		****	_ []	[]	[]		
(4)	•		_ []	[]	[]		
[] Continuation Sh	cet Attached		•				
Brief Description of	f Arguments to be	Presented:	110 men 45 (161)	on ch.	<u>.</u>		
Sec ar	rached list	of issuer	ve <i>menn</i> s Ogai	TIST CICE	3 /		
An interview was co	onducted on the ab	ove-identified ap	plication on	-	*		
NOTE: This form should be c § 713.01).	completed by applica	nt and submitted t	o the examiner in adv	ance of the inte	rview (see MPEP		
This application will interview. Therefore,	not be delayed from i applicant is advised	issue because of ap to file a statement	plicant's failure to su	bmit a written r	ecord of this		
as soon as possible.	••			is interview (37			
			111		<i></i>		
(Applicant/Applicant	's Representative Si	ignature) (J	Examiner/SPE Signal	ture)			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patenta, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Application N. 09/940,754 Examiner Do Art Unit 2193 Continuation of PTOL-413A

- 1) The rejection of claim 1 cites FIG. 13 of Zhou. The rejection further cites elements 206 and R21 of Zhou which are not in FIG. 13. Please clarify.
- 2) Which signals of Zhou are allegedly similar to the claimed plurality of intermediate signals?
- 3) Which clock cycle of Zhou is used in rejecting the claim language that the intermediate signals are "each representative of said state of said input signal during a plurality of clock cycles"?
- 4) What state of the "intermediate signals" of Zhou is allegedly similar to the claimed lost state? (Zhou does not appear to discuss any signals having a match state, an unmatch state, a high state or a low state as mentioned in the Office Action, page 2)
- 5) Which clock of Zhou is used to by the A/D 202? Where is this discusses in Zhou?
- 6) How do clock 2 and clock 3 represent a filtering value? Where is this filtering value discussed in Zhou?